Research

Open Access

Genome comparison of two Coccolithoviruses Michael J Allen¹, Declan C Schroeder², Andrew Donkin¹, Katharine J Crawfurd¹ and William H Wilson^{*1}

Address: ¹Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth, PL1 3DH, UK and ²Marine Biological Association, Citadel Hill, Plymouth, PL1 2PB, UK

Email: Michael J Allen - mija@pml.ac.uk; Declan C Schroeder - dsch@mba.ac.uk; Andrew Donkin - m.donkin@plymouth.ac.uk; Katharine J Crawfurd - kacra@pml.ac.uk; William H Wilson* - whw@pml.ac.uk

* Corresponding author

Published: 22 March 2006

Virology Journal2006, 3:15 doi:10.1186/1743-422X-3-15

This article is available from: http://www.virologyj.com/content/3/1/15

© 2006Allen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 25 October 2005 Accepted: 22 March 2006

Abstract

Background: The *Coccolithoviridae* is a recently discovered family of viruses that infect the marine coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi*. Following on from the sequencing of the type strain EhV-86, we have sequenced a second strain, EhV-163.

Results: We have sequenced approximately 80% of the EhV-163 genome, equating to more than 200 full length CDSs. Conserved and variable CDSs and a gene replacement have been identified in the EhV-86 and EhV-163 genomes.

Conclusion: The sequencing of EhV-163 has provided a wealth of information which will aid the re-annotating of the EhV-86 genome and identified a gene insertion in EhV-163.

Background

We recently determined the whole genome sequence of the *Coccolithoviridae* strain EhV-86, a giant dsDNA algal virus from the family *Phycodnaviridae* that infects the marine coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* [1]. Core genes common to nuclear-cytoplasmic large DNA virus (NCLDV) genomes were identified and eight of these genes were used to create a phylogenetic tree in which EhV-86 was placed at the root of the *Phycodnaviridae* [2]. Due to the placement of EhV-86 on a branch distinct from other *Phycodnaviridae* and the presence of six RNA polymerase subunits (unique among the *Phycodnaviridae*) we suggested this genus would eventually be renamed as a subfamily of the *Phycodnaviridae* termed *Coccolithovirinae*.

Strain EhV-86 was originally isolated, along with many others, in 1999 from an *Emiliania huxleyi* bloom in the

English Channel [3,4]. In contrast, EhV-163 was isolated from the geographically distinct area of Western Norway during a mesocosm experiment in 2000 [3]. Both virus genomes were initially estimated to be approximately 410 kbp in size. We have subsequently sequenced the entire EhV-86 genome and shown it to be 407, 933 base pairs (bp) [1]. Phylogenetic analysis based on the DNA polymerase gene has previously shown that EhV-163 is distinct from all English Channel strains isolated thus far [3]. In order to gain further insight into both the common and unique relationship these two viruses have with their host, *Emiliania huxleyi*, and their possible placement within a putative subfamily, we have undertaken to sequence a second coccolithovirus genome, EhV-163.

Results

The sequencing of EhV-86 was hindered by the highly repetitive nature of the genome (three different types of



Figure I

Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) alignment of EhV-86 genomic sequence (Top) against EhV-163 contig DQ127555 (Bottom). The putative phosphate permease gene, ehv117, of EhV-86 has been replaced by a putative endonuclease, ehv117a, in EhV-163.

repeat family were identified [5]), which suggested the elucidation, in a much smaller scaled project, of a second closely related strain would be difficult. However, by using a random shotgun approach at first, followed by a second directed approach to fill in missing sequence based on an EhV-86 backbone, we have managed to sequence approximately 322 kbp of the EhV-163 genome in 267 contigs, equating to around 80% of the estimated genome size. This has provided enough genetic information to perform an analysis of the two coccolithovirus genomes. Of the 472 CDSs predicted in the EhV-86 genome [1], from the EhV-163 contigs, full sequence was obtained for 202 CDSs and partial sequence was obtained for a further 182 CDSs. Contigs from EhV-163 were typically between 95-100% identical to EhV-86 sequence (Additional file 1). Regardless of contig size and content (intergenic or genic), EhV-163 contigs aligned with perfect

colinearity (except in one case, discussed below) to the EhV-86 genome sequence.

Highly conserved CDSs

Of the 202 CDSs that had complete sequence, 20 were identical at DNA level and a further 17 were identical at the amino acid level (Additional file 1). These 37 conserved CDSs are distributed throughout the genome; however there are some that appear to be clustered together in 4 regions. CDSs ehv027 (unknown function), ehv028 (putative ligase) and ehv029 (putative membrane protein); ehv135 (putative membrane protein) and ehv136 (unknown function); ehv165 (putative membrane protein), ehv166 (putative RING finger containing protein), ehv167 (RNA polymerase subunit 10) and ehv168 (putative membrane protein); and ehv260 (unknown funcehv261 (unknown function) and ehv263 tion),

CDS	Genetic change(s)	Consequence
ehv060	3' variable region	Truncated protein
ehv100	21 bp deletion	7 amino acid insertion
ehvIII	27 bp variable region containing a 3 bp insertion	9 amino acid variable region
ehv118	24 bp and 12 bp insertions	8 and 4 amino acid inserts
ehvl 28	l bp insert	Truncated protein
ehv142	Numerous point mutations	Highly variable protein sequence
ehv I 46	I bp insertion	Truncated protein
ehv172	12 bp deletion, 1 bp insertion	Truncated protein
ehv173	Two 3 bp deletions, 3 bp and 21 bp insertions	Variable protein sequence
ehv181	24 bp insertion, 15 bp insertion, point mutation creating stop codon	Inserts of 8 and 5 amino acids. Truncated protein.
ehv206	9 bp and 18 bp insertions	Inserts of 3 and 6 amino acids
ehv210A	Point mutation in stop codon	Truncated protein
ehv235	3 bp insertion, 11 bp deletion, numerous small deletions	Truncated protein
ehv276	Point mutation creating stop codon	Truncated protein
ehv277	Point mutation in stop codon	Protein extended
ehv285	l bp insert	Truncated protein
ehv304	14 bp insert	Truncated protein
ehv321	16 bp insert	Truncated protein
ehv339	Point mutation creating stop codon	Truncated protein
ehv341	Point mutation in stop codon	Protein extended
ehv359	21 bp deletion	7 amino acid deletion
ehv381	Point mutation in start codon, 1 bp deletion	Altered Start of translation
ehv406	Six I bp deletions	Variable protein sequence

Table 1: Examples of genetic changes in the predicted CDSs of EhV-163 in comparison with EhV-86.

(unknown function) are found in these four clusters. The high degree of conservation among these 37 CDSs implies they are under high selection pressure or were recently acquired by the last common ancestor of EhV-86 and EhV-163. Since it has been shown previously that RNA polymerase was present in the ancestral NCLDV prior to the divergence of the *Poxviridae*, *Iridoviridae*, *Asfariviridae*, *Phycodnaviridae* and *Mimiviridae* families, it is likely that for ehv167, at least, the high degree of conservation is due to a high selection pressure [2,5,6]. This also implies that RNA polymerase function is crucial to the infection strategy of coccolithoviruses, providing further evidence for a life style distinct from the other previously sequenced *Phycodnaviridae* (PBCV-1 and ESV-1).

Gene replacement

No sequence was obtained for 88 of the 472 CDSs predicted to be encoded in the EhV-86 genome. The similar size of the EhV-163 genome in comparison with that of EhV-86 and the high levels of similarity in other regions suggests that the majority of these CDSs are likely to be present. Indeed, a hybridisation of EhV-163 genomic DNA to the EhV-86 based coccolithovirus microarray has revealed that of the 425 EhV-86 CDSs probed for, only 28 appear to be absent in EhV-163 (unpublished data). However, one notable gene deletion in EhV-163 is a putative phosphate permease found at approximately 115 kb on the EhV-86 genome (See Figure 1). This region was sequenced in a 6.9 kbp contig from EhV-163 that contained the full sequence of ehv115, ehv116, ehv118, ehv119, ehv120, ehv121, ehv122 and ehv123. The 1.6 kb phosphate permease gene, known as ehv117, is absent from this contig in EhV-163. This CDS gave no hybridisation signal in the microarray genomic analysis and all attempts to amplify ehv117 by PCR from EhV-163 gDNA have failed (unpublished data). In place of ehv117 in EhV-163 is a 600 bp region that contains a 75 bp 3' remnant of ehv117 and a 435 bp putative CDS that appears to encode a 144 amino acid protein which contains a HNH signature domain, characteristic of a homing endonuclease. The functional relevance of this intriguing gene replacement is yet to be determined and warrants further investigation.

Variation in CDSs

The majority of EhV-163 CDSs are predicted to start and stop at the same locations as their EhV-86 counterparts. Variation occurs at the DNA and amino acid level but generally the overall length and structure of the genes is very similar. However, there are some differences between the CDSs in the two strains. Changes in DNA sequence can take a variety of forms: point mutations which may or may not lead to the introduction/disruption of the start/ stop codon, in-frame insertions/deletions, and insertions/ deletions leading to truncated/extended proteins. Examples of all these types of changes can be found when comparing the sequence from the genomes of EhV-86 and EhV-163 (Table 1). The majority of coding inserts and deletions are kept in frame (i.e. occur in multiples of 3 bp). These changes lead to changes in protein structure

EhV-86	ATGTCT GACACAAAT GTTAAGCCATTA TCAATTATCATAAAAAAT CGCACCAAAAAGATG
EhV-163	ATGTTTCAGGAAAATACTGAGCAATTGTCAGTAACTATAAAACAGTCCAACAAAAGGAAG
EhV-86	AAACTT TCT GAC GAA TAT ATG GCC AAA ATG AAGATA TTG AGA GAT GGG GTT ACA GGC GTT
EhV-163	ATACTTTCCGACGAACACATTACCAAGATGAAAACATTAGCAGATAGTGTTACCTATATT * ****** ****** ***** ***** ***** *****
EhV-86	TAC GTT GCGTCGAAACAGCAAAAGTTC CGGGCAATTACGGGTAAAAAAGAAAAT GGATTA
EhV-163	TGCATTCATAAAAAAAAAAAAAAATTTCAAGCAGCTATTGGTAAAAGGGAGAATGGATTG
EhV-86	TATACT TGT GAA TAT TTC CCA CTC ACA GAA TCC GGT TTC GAA GCA GCC TTC AAA GCT TCG
EhV-163	TTTATTTCTAGACAGTTCCCCCTCACAGAAGCTGGTTTTGAAGAAGCGATCTGTGCATCA
EhV-86	AAT GAT TACCGC GAA AAA CAA GAC GAA GAA AGA AAA CGT AAA GAA AAC CGA ACA CCA AAC
EhV-163	AATGATTTCCCGGGGGGAAGGGGTGCAGGAGGGAGGCGTAAAAAAGCGGGACACCCAAAC
EhV-86	GAAAGACGGGAT GAGAAT CTAAAAACGTAT GGAGACAAC TCT GCGCTC GAACGAGAT TTT
BhV-163	GAAAAAAGGGAT GAGAAT CTA GAAACGTAT GGAGGCAGC TGT GCGCTC GAACGAGAT TTT
EhV-86	CTCAAT TCT CTGAAGTCCGTA TTT GAAGGGTTGGAT ATCAAT TAC TTA ATT CTAAAT GAT
BhV-163	CTGATTTCCATGAAGCCAGTATTTGAAGGGTCGGATATCAATTACTTAATTCTAAATGAT
EhV-86	GGTACAAAT GCAGACAAT GCATTCAAGTTT GTAAAC GACAAT ATG TTG CTA CCAATT CAA
EhV-163	GGTACAAAT GCAGACAAT GCATTCAAGTTT GTAAAAGACAGCAAGTTGCTACCAATTCAA
EhV-86	ACAAAGACAACAAAGACGTATGTTCAAGGAAATTCTATGCATTTCAGTGGTTGTAGTAAT
EhV-163	ACAAAGACAAACAAAGACATATGTTCAAGGAAATTCTATGCAATTCCATGGTTGTAGTAAT
EhV-86	TATACATGCATCATGTTATGCTGGAACGTTGACGAAAACAGAGGCGTTTTTTTGGATGGG
8hV-163	TATACATGCATCATGTTATGCTGGAACGTTGACGCACAAAGAGGCGCTTTTTTTGGATGGG
EhV-86	GCAAAGGTCAAC TCAAAC CAGCTA TGGTTCACGTTCAAGAAT GTT GAGAAGCAA GAC TAC
8hV-163	CAAAAGGTCAAC TCAAACCAT CTAACGTTTACGTTCGATAATGTTGAGAAGCAAGAC TAC
EhV-86	TTTATCTCACACGTTAACATTGGGAATATGAAAGACGTTGTACGAACTCTTCTCCAAGAC
shv-163	
KhV-86	ATGTCCAAACCTCGTTTTTCAGAAATATTTCTAAGGTGGCAACTTGACTCAGATGATCAT
KhV-163	
EhV-86	GTCAAGGAGATGATTGGGGGCACAATACCTTATAAAAACGGAAAATTGCACGTTTCCGAAT
80V-163	
KhV-86	GAGCAAAAT TCACAT GTT GAT TTA TTT TCCAAC TCAGTT CGT CGT CAACTAAAAACATGC
KhV-163	
KhV-86	TGCGTTCTGCCAAACCATACCGGTCTGTTGTTCAACATCACAACAAGTATCGGAAGGTCG
2110-103	
511V-00	AAC GGGAAGCGCACCAAC GGI GCA IAI CCACIACII GAAGAC GGA ICI CCI CCI II CGAI
510-165	********** ***** *** ******************
EhV-86	ATACTGGATGTGTTTTTTTTTGAAAACGGCACTCTTCATCATTGGTCATTTCCAGTTGAT
EhV-163	ATATTGGATGTGTTTTTTATTAAGAACGGCACTCTTCATCATTGGTCATTTCCAGTTGAT
EhV-86	GGT CTT CTT GGT GGA TCG GAAAAT GTT GAG TAT GAAACAAAGACA TCT AGC TTC ACT CAG
EhV-163	GGTCTTCTTGGTGGCTCGGAAAATGTTGAGTATGAAACAAAGACGTCTATCTTCACTCAG
EhV-86	AATTTTCCGTCTATTTTTTCTCATAAAGAAGATGGAAAAGCAGTCGGTGGGTG
8hV-163	AAT TTTCCCGTCTATT TTT TCT CATAAAGAAGACGGAAGAGTGGTCGATGGATGTACATGT
8hV-86	GGGATGGTT TAT CTACCGGAGAAACCAGGCATT GCAAAGAAA TTCAAAGAAGGGTCAGGG
8hV-163	GGGTATGTTTATCTACCGGAGAAACCAGGCATTGCAAAGAAATTCAAAGAAAAGTCAAAG
EhV-86	CAAGAGCGGTCTTGGGCATTCAAGCAGTCATTCTACAAAACAAGCGTAAAGCTCTCTTCG
snv-163	ALULAIJUGA TCATGGGCATTCAAGCAGTCATTCTACAAAACCAAGCGTAAAGCTCTCTTCG
8hV-86	TTTTTGGACGACACACTTCTTTCTGAAATGCCCGAAAACACCCAGAAAATTGTCAGAGAT
KhV-163	TTTTTGGATGACACACTTCTTTTGGAAATGCCCGAAAACACCCCAGAAAATTGTCAGAGAT
KhV-86	CTAATGAAGACCGAATAG
5110-103	**************************************

Figure 2

Clustal W alignment of the EhV-86 and EhV-163 homologs for the CDS ehv142. An asterix denotes a conserved base.

which could account for different phenotypes (such as host range) to be shown by EhV-163 and EhV-86 [3].

When annotating a genome it is often necessary to predict where the start of translation codons are. The advantage in having two related genomes is that you can re-check your annotation. This is particularly important in the coccolithoviruses since the majority of CDSs have no database homologues making gene prediction difficult. The vast majority of CDSs in EhV-86 appear to be very similar to their EhV-163 equivalents. However, there are some CDSs that appear to need re-annotating in the light of the sequence data from EhV-163 (Table 1, Additional file 1).

For example, although the overlapping of CDSs is common is some virus genomes [7], this is not a common occurrence in the EhV-86 genome. However, an overlap of CDSs occurs in EhV-86 with ehv380 and ehv381. This overlap does not occur in EhV-163, due to a change in the predicted start of translation methionine codon (ATG to ATA) and a 1 bp deletion that would otherwise cause a frameshift. It therefore appears likely that, in EhV-163 at least, the start of translation occurs from the ATG that is present 36 bp downstream of current predicted ATG start codon of ehv381 in EhV-86.

There appears to be a high degree of variation in ehv142 between the two strains. The CDS has approximately 86.9 % identity at the nucleotide level (183 of the 1398 nucleotides are different) and 79.1% identity at the amino acid level (97 of the 465 amino acids are different) (Figure 2). Most of the variation occurs in the 5' region of the CDS. BLASTP and PSI-BLAST searches reveal no significant matches. However, PSI-BLAST searches reveal strong matches for KELCH-like proteins (e⁻⁵⁰) after only two rounds for the EhV-163 version of ehv142. PSI-BLAST searches using the corresponding EhV-86 CDS reveal no matches for KELCH-like proteins, suggesting ehv142 may play a different role in each virus strain. Both EhV-86 and EhV-163 are capable of infecting many of the same strains (with varying virulence) [3]. However, there are many strains of E. huxleyi that are susceptible to infection by only one or other of the viruses (unpublished data). Intriguingly, KELCH-like proteins have been identified in poxviruses and are found to be highly variable [8-10]. Indeed, variation in the KELCH-like proteins of poxviruses has been shown to account for variation in virulence, host range and reproduction [8,9].

Conclusion

EhV-86 and EhV-163 belong to a unique family of algal viruses whose genomes contain a high proportion of genes of unknown function. The sequencing of EhV-163 has provided a wealth of information which will aid the re-annotating of parts of the EhV-86 genome and identi-

fied an intriguing gene replacement and a highly divergent CDS in the two genomes. Furthermore, the discovery of highly conserved non-core genes of unknown function in these strains suggests their importance to these viruses, adding further credence to the hypothesis that the Coccolithovirus genus has lifestyle distinct from other members of the *Phycodnaviridae*.

Methods

Preparation of EhV-163 concentrate

Six 1L cultures of exponentially growing *E. huxleyi* CCMP1516, at a cell concentration of 1.2×10^6 cells/ml, were each inoculated with 1 ml of EhV-163 (~ 2×10^5 pfu/ml). Growth was monitored by cell counts in a Reichert haemocytometer under a light microscope. Four days post-inoculation, the decimated cultures were subjected to a filtration, concentration and purification regime [3,11].

Virus DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from CsCl-purified EhV-163 by initially treating the sample with proteinase K (5 mg/ml) in a lysis buffer containing 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 and 0.5% SDS (w/v) at 65 °C for 1 h. 0.1 × volume aliquots of phenol were added to the samples, after which the DNA was extracted with an equal volume of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The DNA was precipitated with the addition of 0.5 × volume 7.5 M ammonium acetate, pH 7.5 and 2.5 × volume absolute ethanol. Virus DNA was stored in molecular grade water (Sigma) prior to genome sequencing.

Genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was sheared by sonication, ligated into pCR-Blunt (Invitrogen) and sequenced using M13 forward and reverse primers. After 2700 reads, the sequence was assembled into contigs and analysed using SeqMan (DNAstar). Following alignment to the backbone of EhV-86, 229 primer pairs were designed, specific to the EhV-163 gDNA sequence, to attempt to amplify the missing gaps. The sequence, annealing temperature and genomic location (in relation to EhV-86) of the primers designed can be found in the NERC environmental genomic data catalogue at http://envgen.nox.ac.uk under EnvBase accession number egcat:00010. When a PCR product was obtained, it was sequenced directly using both primers and the resulting sequence added to the contig library. The depth of sequence coverage varied across the genome due to the random nature of the initial sequencing strategy. Depth of coverage varied from just one sequence read for some regions to up to18 for others, with an average coverage of approximately 3. In areas of low coverage, sequence reads containing ambiguous results were removed from the analysis. 267 contigs were generated, covering approximately 80% of the EhV-163 genome.

These contigs have been submitted to Genbank under the accession numbers DQ127552-DQ127818. This data is also available from <u>http://envgen.nox.ac.uk</u>, EnvBase accession number egcat:00010.

Genomic analysis

The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) finds regions of local similarity between sequences by comparing nucleotide or protein sequences to sequence databases and calculating the statistical significance of matches. Protein-protein BLAST (BLAST-P) and Position-specific iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) were performed on CDSs of interest online at <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/</u>. Artemis Comparison Tool (ACT) (<u>http:// www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/ACT/</u>) was used to compare the EhV-163 contigs against the EhV-86 genome.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MJA helped coordinate the study, carried out the molecular genetic studies, sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. DSCH prepared the EhV-163 DNA for the construction of the shotgun library, helped coordinate the study and draft the manuscript. AD and DSCH constructed the EhV-163 clone library. AD screened the library. AD and KJC performed the sequencing and participated in the sequence alignment. WHW conceived, designed and coordinated the study and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional File 1

Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1743-422X-3-15-S1.doc]

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by grants awarded to WHW from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Environmental Genomics thematic program (ref. NE/A509332/I) and from Marine Genomics Europe, through framework programme FP6 of the European Commission. DCS is a Marine Biological Association of the UK (MBA) Research Fellow funded by grant in aid from the NERC. WHW is supported through the NERC-funded core strategic research programme of the Plymouth Marine Laboratory. We would like to acknowledge support from NERC Environmental Bioinformatics Centre, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Oxford for help with data storage and administration.

References

- Wilson WH, Schroeder DC, Allen MJ, Holden MTG, Parkhill J, Barrell BG, Churcher C, Hamlin N, Mungall K, Norbertczak H, Quail MA, Price C, Rabbinowitsch E, Walker D, Craigon M, Roy D, Ghazal P: Complete Genome Sequence and Lytic Phase Transcription Profile of a Coccolithovirus. Science 2005, 309(5737):1090-1092.
- Allen MJ, Schroeder DC, Holden MT, Wilson WH: Evolutionary History of the Coccolithoviridae. Mol Biol Evol 2006, 23(1):86-92.
- Schröeder DC, Oke J, Malin G, Wilson WH: Coccolithovirus (Phycodnaviridae): Characterisation of a new large dsDNA algal virus that infects Emiliania huxleyi. Arch Virol 2002, 147(9):1685-1698.
- Wilson WH, Tarran GA, Schroeder D, Cox M, Oke J, Malin G: Isolation of viruses responsible for the demise of an Emiliania huxleyi bloom in the English Channel. J Mar Biol Ass UK 2002, 82:369-377.
- Allen MJ, Schroeder DC, Wilson WH: Preliminary characterisation of repeat families in the genome of EhV-86, a giant algal virus that infects the marine microalga Emiliania huxleyi. Arch Virol 2006, 151:525–535.
- Iyer LM, Aravind L, Koonin EV: Common Origin of Four Diverse Families of Large Eukaryotic DNA Viruses. J Virol 2001, 75(23):11720-11734.
- 7. Firth AE, Brown CM: Detecting overlapping coding sequences with pairwise alignments. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21(3):282-292.
- 8. Pires de Miranda M, Reading PC, Tscharke DC, Murphy BJ, Smith GL: The vaccinia virus kelch-like protein C2L affects calciumindependent adhesion to the extracellular matrix and inflammation in a murine intradermal model. J Gen Virol 2003, 84(Pt 9):2459-2471.
- Kochneva G, Kolosova I, Maksyutova T, Ryabchikova E, Shchelkunov S: Effects of deletions of kelch-like genes on cowpox virus biological properties. Arch Virol 2005.
- Tulman ER, Afonso CL, Lu Z, Zsak L, Sur JH, Sandybaev NT, Kerembekova UZ, Zaitsev VL, Kutish GF, Rock DL: The genomes of sheeppox and goatpox viruses. J Virol 2002, 76(12):6054-6061.
- Schroeder DC, Oke J, Hall M, Malin G, Wilson WH: Virus Succession Observed during an Emiliania huxleyi Bloom. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003, 69(5):2484-2490.

